中華佛學學報第 07 期 (p477-500): (民國 83 年),臺北:中華佛學研究

所, http://www.chibs.edu.tw

Chung-Hwa Buddhist Journal, No. 07, (1994)

Taipei: The Chung-Hwa Institute of Buddhist Studies

ISSN: 1017-7132

Biographies of Eminent Monks in aCo mparative Perspective: The Function of the Holy in Medieval Chinese Buddhis

m

Koichi Shinohara

Professor, McMaster University

p. 477

Summary

In this paper I propose a new direction for the study of Huijiao's Biogra phies of EminentMonks. I begin the discussion with some comments

on Arthur Wright's influential studyBiography and Hagiography: Hui ch iao's Lives of Eminent Monks. I take issue with Wright'sview on Huijia o's attitude toward miracle stories; according to Wright, Hujiao

was less concerned to awe the simple with accounts of miracles than topersuade the nobles and the literati that Buddhism was intellectually respectable and that its clergy had led useful, creative, and well disciplined lives.

With this statement Wright imports into the study of medieval Chinese Buddhism the kind of "two-tier model" that Peter Brown saw in the sch olarship on the history of Christianity and criticized. I argue that we nee d to dispense with the broad interpretive framework that Wrightuses, n amely that there is a religion of the masses that is opposed to a religion of the elite, and that we must question Wright's characterization of the at elite culture as disdainful of talesof miracles and the supernatural. At the same time we must turn to a careful and detailed consideration of the actual contents of Huijiao's biographical collection. In fact from a careful reading of the collection and related texts a remarkably different

picture of Huijiao's view ofmiracles and miracle workers begin to emer ge.

Wright and others relied heavily on Huiiiao's preface in their analysis o f the

p. 478

nature of this biographical collection. Calling attention to Huijiao's hea vy dependence onBaochang, I suggest that Huijiao's preface may hav e been a rather tendentious documentand that significant gaps may h ave existed between this preface (including the tenfoldscheme of clas sifying biographies) and the actual content of the work itself. An account of the content of the collection that relies heavily on Huijiao's preface, as is the case withWright's classic study, needs to be reexamined in the light of studies that focus on individual biographies in the collection rather than the editor's presentation of these biographies.

In the second part of this paper, I argue that Peter Brown's functionali st study of "the holy"and "holy men" in late antiquity in the West offers us some useful insights that might lead us a very different approach to the study of Chinese "Biographies of Eminent Monks." lattempted t

o illustrate this approach by discussing the biography of Tanchao (419

492), which is found in the "meditation masters" section of Huijiao's coll ection. I attempt afunctionalist reading of Tanchao's life here, suggesti ng that one of its main concerns wasthe preservation of the order of s ociety. Tanchao mediated between the villagers in thisworld and the d ragons who rule in the other world. The rain miracle story that constitu tes themain part of the story told in this biography does not deny the b asic structure of dualhierarchies in which the worldly hierarchy is impli citly supported by an other worldlyhierarchy. The situation that necess itated Tanchao's intervention was a malfunctioning ordisorder of this s tructure. It was by accident that people disturbed the dragon's residen ce. When the dragons were infuriated and made an oath to stop the rai n, a monk, who was anoutsider and had a reputation for extraordinary spiritual power that reached even to the gods, had to be brought in. On ly after the monk had succeeded in converting the dragons to Buddhis m could the dragons be persuaded to abandon their oath and bring do wn rain. Isuggest further that the story of Tanchao's miraculous feat at Mt. Lingyin, with its emphasison converting local dragons, could also

be read as a story that describes how Buddhismcame to be accepted locally in an area that was not very far from the capital.

p. 479

1. Huijiao's Biographies of Eminent Monks

In this paper I should like to propose a new direction for examining the standard ChineseBuddhist biographical collections, based on my rea ding of Peter Brown 's various writingson "holy men" in Late Antiquity.

I should like to suggest that a reading of Peter Brown's workcan offer a number of fruitful insights that might well be applied to the study of C hineseBuddhist biographies, and beyond the biographies to a study of the function of the monk inChinese society. To begin with I offer some brief critical reflections on current scholarship onthese biographies.

Arthur Wright's well-known article on Huijiao's Biograph ies of Eminen t Monks originallypublished in 1954, is still frequently cited today as the estandard reference work on this collection of the biographies of early Chinese Buddhist monks.

[1] This influential article, however, reflects very clearly its author's poin

ted understanding of both Chinese civilizationand the nature and posit ion of Buddhism within that civilization. For this reason anydiscussion of its contribution must first consider some of its basic underlying assumptions.

[2]As I began to work on medieval Chinese Buddhist biographies, I no ticed certain basic, and I believe problematic, assumptions in Wright's study, and consequently becamesceptical about Wright's account of Huijiao's collection.

The following statement is typical of Wright's discussion:

He (Huijiao) was less concerned to awe the simple with accounts ofmiracles than to persuade the nobles and the literation that Bu ddhism was intellectually respectable and that its clergy had led useful, creative, andwell disciplined lives. (386.)

p. 480

In this statement, Wright imports into the study of medieval Chinese B uddhism the kind of "two-tier model" that Peter Brown has criticized ex tensively.[3] Huijiao's treatment of miraclesis then explained in terms o

f that basic model. Miracle stories "awe the simple", but are not"intelle ctually respectable" for "the nobles and the literati".

In the context in which he makes the above statement Wright asserts t hat Huijiao,

"who wassteeped in Chinese historiographical tradition", was trying to write "a work within thattradition, one that would meet the prevailing s tandards for secular literary and historicalwriting." Wright "ventures to suggest" that Huijiao's adoption of the conventions of secularhistorical writing "was motivated by a desire — conscious or unconscious — t o rescueBuddhist biography from the limbo of the exotic, the bizarre, a nd give to the lives of themonks a place of honour in the cultural histor y of China. In short, one of his motives.....wasto advance the naturaliz ation of monks and monasticism in Chinese history and society. "(385). Wright goes on to contrast Huijiao's biographies with "hagiogra phies" of the greatfigures of the Indian tradition and what he calls the " popular Chinese literary genre.....whosehighly colored stories were in tended to entertain, with "morals" thrown in for those with ataste for th em" (386).

I would like to argue that we need to dispense with the broad interpretive framework that Wright is using, namely that there is a religion of the masses that is opposed to a religion of the elite, and that we must que stion Wright's characterization of that elite culture as disdainful of tales of miracles and the supernatural. At the same time we must turn to ac areful and detailed consideration of the actual contents of Huijiao's bio graphy collection. In fact from a careful reading of the collection and related texts a remarkably different picture of Huijiao's view of miracles and miracle workers begins to emerge, as the following comments make clear.

InhistenfoldclassificationofbiographiesHuijiaoplacedthecategoryof"miraclewor

(or"wonderworkers"?,shenyi)inthethirdposition:theopeningsectionontranslator sisfollowedbythelargeandcentralsectionon

p. 481

exegetes; the section of miracle workers is placed immediately after this section, pre ceding the sections on meditation masters and vinay aspecialists. In the two laterbio graphies of eminent monks collections modeled after Huijiao's collection, the title giv

entothemiracleworkerssectionismodifiedandbecomes"cosmicresponse" (gantong),atermthatharmonizedbetterwithcontemporaryChineseBuddhistscho lasticism.Intheselatercollectionsthesectionwasalsoplacedinalowerposition:the sectionoftranslatorswasfollowedbyoneonexegetes,meditationmasters,vinayas pecialists,anddefendersoftheteaching,andonlythenbythesectiononmiraclewor kers.laminclinedtointerpretthesechangesasindicationsthatlatercompilersofBio graphiesofEminentMonksfeltthatHuijiaohadactuallyplacedexcessiveandundu eemphasisonmiracleworkers.Thisisactuallyasomewhatsurprisingsituation,sinc eDaoxuan,whointroducedthesechanges,washimselfkeenlyinterestedinmiracle storiesandcompiledacomprehensivecollectionofmiraclestoriestowardtheendof hislife.

Inthesecollectionseachofthetensectionsconcludeswithcommentsbythecompil eraboutthenatureofthecategoriesofmonkswhosebiographiesmakeupthesesect ions"Lunyue......"Huijiao'scommentson"miracleworkers"culminateinadefenseo ftheextraordinaryfeatsdescribedinthebiographiesofthemonksheincludedinthis section(395ab).

Inhispreface(orpostscript,intheforminwhichthecollectionisreproducedintheTais hocollection)Huijiaolistsanumberofsourcesthatheconsultedincompilingthecoll ection.Thislistincludesseveralworksthatwereobviouslycollectionsofmiraclestori

es.ThemajorityoftheseworksconsultedbyHuijiaoarenowlost,butmanyfragments ,particularlyofmiraclestorycollections,havebeenpreservedinamedievalBuddhis tencyclopedia,theFayuanzhulinorTheJadeForestintheGardenofBuddhistTeac hings.InanearlierstudyIcollectedthesefragmentsandcomparedthemwiththecorr espondingpassagesinHuijiao'sbiographies.Theresultwasstriking.[4]Huijiaoofte neditedandrephrasedthepassagesdescribingmiracles,buthealsocarefully

p. 482

preservedthedetailsofthemiraclestoriesheused.Insomecasesmiraclestoriesab outfamousmonksthatwerefoundinindependentmiraclestorycollectionswereins ertedintolargerbiographiesthathecopiedfromothersourcesthatwerelessinterest edinmiraclestories.ThispracticemightconfirmWright'sviewthatHuijiaowasagoo dhistorianwhocollectedinformationfromawiderangeofsourcesandpaidattention todetailsoftheaccountsinthesourceheused.ButitalsoindicatesthatHuijiaocollect edmiraclestoriesdiligentlyandusedthemliberallyincompilinghisBiographiesofE minentMonks.

My observations on Huijiao's use of earlier miracle story collections ha s lead me to conclude that Huijiao was in fact deeply interested in mira cle stories. I believe furthermore that, contrary to Wright's general assu mption, members of the literati group in medieval Chinawere also the mselves quite interested in miracle stories. A rich tradition of miracle s torycollections existed by the time Huijiao produced his biography coll ection; indeed, interest inthese stories was so great that a number of c ompilations of miracle stories were incirculation when Huijiao went to compile his collection of biographies.

Beyond just proposing that Wright's understanding of Huijiao's attitud e towards miraclesneeds to be questioned, I should also like to raise the issue of Huijiao as the modelhistorian. I have become increasingly sceptical about the commonly held view that Huijiaowas a great historian. One important work that Huijiao used in compiling his collection is something called Biographies of Famous Monks compiled by Baochang during the period510 to 513.

- [5] This was a large collection consisting of 30 fascicles. Baochang was a discipleof Sengyou (445 \sim
- 518), arguably the most important figure in early Chinese Buddhisthist oriography, who compiled several collections of historical documents.

 Since Huijiaoappears to have completed his collection around 530 or 5

 31, he must have been at work onhis collection of monks' biographies

at a time when the large collection compiled byBaochang already exis ted. Baochang's collection has disappeared, probably due to thepopul arity of Huijiao's later collection; and only parts of Baochang's collection are nowknown through a summary that a Japanese monk Sōshō p. 483

produced during the Kamakura period. [6] Yet, a comparison of the fra gments preserved in Sosho's summary and the corresponding passag es in Huijiao's collection indicates thatHuijiao was heavily indebted to Baochang's collection. An overwhelming majority of themonks whose biographies are found in Huijiao's collection appear to have had biogr aphiesin Baochang's collection. In cases where Baochang's biographi es are preserved in Sosho'ssummary, Huijiao turns out to have reprod uced intact the biographies Baochang preparedwith only relatively mi nor editorial revisions. Even Arthur Wright noted this close relationshi pbetween Huijiao and Baochang, without, however, drawing what app ears to me to be anatural conclusion: the credit for compiling the first extensive collection of the biographies of early Chinese monks should go to Baochang and not to Huijiao at all! [7]

In spite of the extensive reliance on Baochang's collection Huijiao doe s not mentionBaochang's work in the long list of his sources in the pre face/postscript. Furthermore, in onewidely quoted passage Huijiao sta tes that his was a collection of "eminent" monks ratherthan "famous" monks $(419a24\sim27)$.

"Eminence" here is self-consciously contrasted with "fame", a kind of t emporary and vacuous success. Huijiao is here indirectly but obviousl yreferring to Baochang's collection which bore the title Biographies of Famous Monks. Having determined Huijiao's close reliance on Baochang's work, I can no longer read this high-minded declaration about the nature of his collection at its face value. This passage is a covert and I would argue quite unfair dig at Baochang's work, which Huijiao had us edvery closely.

Both Arthur Wright and Makita Tairyô, who wrote an authoritative articl e on Huijiao'scollection in Japanese, based their discussions of Huijia o's biographical collection on Huijiao's preface and on the biography of Huijiao that is found in Daoxuan's Further Biographies of Eminent Monks. In Wright's and Makita's discussions Huijiao is seen as agreat his torian who adapted the well established conventions of Chinese histor

iography to the task at hand and produced a remarkable history of Chinese Buddhism written as abiographical collection. To

p. 484

illustrate this, both Wright and Makita devote considerable attention to the ten categories that Huijiao used in classifying his biographies. As I began to see Huijiao's preface as a rathertendencious document that covers up its author's overwhelming indebtedness to Baochang's existing collection, I have also become aware of the possibility that authors such as Wright and Makita may have been unfortunately misled by their uncritical relianceon Huijiao's preface.

In my opinon not only did modern authors such as Wright bring unwar ranted assumptions to their reading of Huijiao's collection; they also mi ssed the fact that significant gaps appear to exist between Huijiao's sel f-presentation and his comments on the nature of his work on the one hand and the actual contents of the work itself, on the other hand. As in the case of the study of Huijiao's attitude toward miracles, we need to put aside a framework imposed on the collection, this time one imposed on it by Huijiao himself, and turn to its contents directly. A careful r

eexamination of the contents of the collection in fact produces a very differentunderstanding of the nature of this collection.

I became aware, for example, that the framework of a collection called Biographies of Eminent Monks that divides the "biographies" into ten categories has the ultimate effect ofhomogenizing what are extremely diverse stories told about very different types of monksthat are includ ed in the collection, merely by grouping certain accounts together and themiuxtaposing them with the other groups. Biographies of well-kno wn monks which werebased on stupa or tomb inscriptions dominate c ertain categories, while in other categories the biographies seem to co nsist largely of miracle stories, often about relatively obscuremonks, a bout whom little else might have been known. But these miracle story biographies, forming as they sometimes appear to do the substance of some of the independent categories of biographies, were then given t he same status as the biographies in the othercategories that were ac tually very different in nature. Miracle stories have become a specieso f Biographies of Eminent Monks, and in this way we are asked to read them not just asfamiliar miracle stories: we are asked to read them as

biographies that are virtuallyindistinguishable from other biographies that have very different origins and characteristics.

The categories in fact help to construct a notion of what it means to be an eminent monk 21Yet, the ten categories used to classify diverse bi ographies

p. 485

sometimes appear to have been imposed on the biographies artificiall y; sometimes thechoice of the category for a given biography does no t seem to be unambiguously dictated either by the contents of the biographies or the self-understanding of their subjects. Nevertheless, the category justifies and explains the choice of the monk as an example of an eminent monk and the inclusion of his biography into the collection.

Existing scholarship on Huijiao's collection that focuses on his preface and the organization of the collection tends to underestimate the diver sity of the actual contents of the collection and just how artificial the cat egories are. A different strategy that emphasizes the contents of the collection as a whole inevitably leads us to a very different understanding of the nature of Huijiao's collection, and in fact that of the entire trad

ition of the Biographies of EminentMonks collections in medieval Chin a. What we find in these collections is not so much a setof standardize d biographies but rather a massive and diverse collection of historical factsand stories about monks. Read in its entirety the collection does not readily form a coherentwhole; the framework that Huijiao imposed on it only gives it an appearance of suchcoherence.

The study of the Biographies of Eminent Monks has so far been carrie d on for the most partby positivistically minded historians. These schol ars have used the work as their principalsource for constructing a mo dern critical history of early Chinese Buddhism and havetherefore ten ded to dismiss passages describing miracles and other legendary stor iessimply as unreliable sources for their historical reconstructions. Yet , miracles and legendarystories played important roles in early Chines e Buddhism. As such they are themselves animportant part of this "his torical" reality. As our understanding of the contents of the Biographies of Eminent Monks changes and we no longer regard the collection as aharmonious and systematic collection of biographies but see it inste ad as a diversecollection of stories about monks, we will also have to r eadjust our view about the nature of the kind of "history" that we are at

tempting to write. It is here that I wish to suggest that PeterBrown's th eoretical reflections scattered throughout his stimulating writings about LateAntiquity may be particularly helpful to us.

p. 486

2. The Holy Man in medieval Chinese Buddhism

Though this may not be palatable to some of my colleagues in religiou s studies, I would like to begin my discussion here by pointing out that i n discussing Brown's views in a broadercomparative context it world be a mistake to focus on "holy men" as a religious type.[8] It would be a mistake to begin our discussion by looking for "holy men" in Chinese Buddhism. Instead I propose that we focus our attention on the way Brown formulates his questions ashe approaches the topic of "holy men."

Brown talks about the "idea of the holy" (175), "the loci of the holy" (176), and the "function" of the holy men. I found the following paragra ph particularly intriguing:

Unlike paganism and much of Judaism, the Christian communities swereprepared to invest individual human beings with supernatural powers or with the ability to exercise power on behalf of the supernatural. It was asprecisely indentifiable bearers of the holy, and as the heirs of an imaginedgenealogy of similar bearers of the holy — apostles, martyrs,

p. 487

prophets — that the Christian leaders were able to form the C hristiancommunities. The groups that took up a stance to the so ciety and cultureof their times were formed around known and re vered loci of theholy..... and these loci tended to be human b eings. As the rabbis toldJustin martyr:

"but as for you, who have forsaken God and put your trustin a man, what salvation can await you?" (176)

Brown then continues to compare the developments in Western and E astern Christendomin terms of different attitudes toward the holy:

"In the West the precise locus of thesupernatural power associated wi

th the holy was fixed with increasing precision"

(178); "Atthe same time, the eastern Church had entered on to what c ame to strike early medievalwestern observers as a baffling 'crisis of o verproduction' of the holy. More men wereaccepted as bearers or age nts of the supernatural on earth, and in a far greater variety of situation s." (179).

"The rise and function of the holy man in the sixth-century easternMe ditarranean as revealed in the work of John of Ephesus stands in mar ked contrast to theworld of religious experiences — mainly crystallize d around relics — revealed in the worksof John's contemporary, Gre gory of Tours."(182).

Furthermore, describing the eastern Meditarranean world,

"The holy escaped socialdefinition — or, rather, its absence of social definition became intelligible — because it wasthought of principally as a power that "manifested" itself in a manner that was as vivid as it was discontinuous with normal human expectations."(182)

"Sanctity, for East Romans, always bordered on the paradoxical. For w hat we have are men with "reputation of power"; yet this power was th ought to have been drawn from outside any apparent nick in the power -structure of society. " $(183 \sim 184)$. In contrast,

"The holy, in the West, could be defined it was in the east, in terms of a stark discontinuity between the human and thenon-human...... An d yet this discontinuous holy is deeply inserted into human society. In themost poker-faced and unparadoxical manner it makes clear who h as received grace in its sight and who has not......I would risk the sugg estion that these phenomena reveal amentality where the holy plays a more permanent role in law and in politics than it would ever play in E ast Rome."(192).

"Byzantine society could take the strain of life on its own, frankly p. 488

secular, terms. Ringed, in the early Middle Ages, on one side by Islam, where religion and aw fused, and on the other by a Western Europe, where religion blew through gapingcracks in the structure of society, B yzantines could keep the holy where they needed it —in so doing, they preserved a vital part of its meaning — it was an unexpected wells pring ofdelight in the scorching summer of Mediterranean life" (195).

In his discussion of Byzantine holy men Brown develops this basic vie wpoint into astimulating disussion of the manner in which holy men "fu

nctioned" as "objective mediators"

(132). Brown's discussion of Byzantine holy men is guided by a powerf ul Durkheimianvision: the sacred, or the holy, functions to integrate so ciety. Brown developed this "functionalist" insight, whose principal weakness is often said to be its inability to explain changes adequately, into a stimulating account of the transformation of late antiquity intoearly medieval society.

Does this challenging reading of the "biographies" of Byzanitne holy men help us in readingbiographies of medieval Chinese Buddhist mo nks?Were these monks seen as the "locus ofthe supernatural power"? And if so how did they "function" to integrate society? How did thepart icular way in which the locus of supernatural power, or the holy, was conceived inmedieval Chinese Buddhism affect the way in which the Buddhist community theredeveloped and shaped the entirety of Chinese civilization? These are certainly important and provocative questions, and we will benefit from details of Brown's discussion as we pursueth ese questions concretely. I find this to be a compelling project, but one that would demandbreadth and maturity of scholarship well beyond my reach at the present time. What I shouldlike to do here is to illustrate

the kind of analysis that such a study might entail with a smalland per haps peripheral example.

As I noted in discussing Huijiao's Biographies of Eminent Monks mira cle stories are scattered widely in all categories of the biographies cont ained in this work. This seems to indicate, that at least as far as Huijiao was concerned, these monks were almost invariably seen as bearers of the holy and possessors of supernatural powers. When, for example, we are told that the learned monk Huiyuan struck the ground at Mt.Lu, and caused a spring toopen at the site where he planned to build a temple, he is certainly described as a human locus of supernatural power. This story is immediately followed by another that describes

how Huiyuan put an end to a drought by reciting the Dragon King Scripture (358a).

[10] The "crisis of the overproduction of the holy" that Peter Brown note s was also an important issuein medieval Chinese Buddhism. In anoth er study I am examining how at the begining of the 7th century when re lics were distributed througout the empire, the monks who took theser

elics to their destinations were pressured to report on the miracles that toccurred as therelics were lowered into the stupa. Details of the relic distribution and the miracles reportedare incorporated in a large numb er of biographies collected in Daoxuan's FurtherBiographies of Emine nt Monks a work that was compiled after the model first established by Huijiao.[11]I would now like to give an example of a biorgaphy in which we might well seethe monk as an outside figure that contributed to maintaining the order of the society, alsofollowing Peter Brown's lead.

I will begin my discussion with a summary/translation of a short biography: the biography of Tanchao (419 \sim

492) that is found toward the end of the section on "meditation master s" inHuijiao's collection(400ab). Though Baochang's biography of this monk is now lost, Sosho'ssummary of Baochang's collection indicates that Tanchao was the subject of the 29thbiography in the "Chinese m editation masters" section in this collection (fascicle 20,

3Bb). Itwould be safe to assume that Huijiao's biography of this monk was for the most part basedon the biography Baochang used in his co llection.

Monk Tanchao was tall and impressive in appearance; he was a strict vegetarian and woresimple clothing. At first he resided at the Longhua si, or Dragon Flower temple, in the capitalcity [Jiankang of the Song d ynasty, $420\sim$

479]; in the year 453 he went southward to Shixingon a sight-seeing tri p. He spent nights alone under trees, but he was not harmed by tigers nor by wild buffaloes. Sometime during the Daming period ($457 \sim 465$) he returned to the

p. 490

capital city. After Emperor Gao of the Qi dynasty (479 \sim

502) established the new dynasty, hewas ordered to go to Liaodong [t o the north outside of the territory of the new dynasty] topromote medi tation. He stayed there for two years and returned to the capital city in 482.

Then he unexpectedly went to Mt. Lingyin in Qiantang. Every time he practiced meditation,he did not come out of his meditative state for ma ny days. Sometime later he unexpectedlyheard the sound of wind and thunder. Suddenly a man holding a tablet appeared in front ofhim, an nouncing the appearance of a noble person. Soon another man appe

ared. He wasextremely handsome and accompanied by lines of soldie rs decorated with feathers. Thisman sat down on a low seat and paid his respects to the monk; he then said,

"I,'a disciple ',reside in a place seven miles away. The realm under my responsibility includes this presentplace. I heard that the dharma mas ter has come here, and for that reason I came here topay my proper r espects. Last winter people at the Fuyang District dug at the foot of Mt . Lu(?) to collect clay for baking tiles and disturbed the ground around t he dragons 'residence. The dragons were infuriated and decided to sto p the rain for 300 days. Today over 100 dayshave passed. Wells and p onds have dried up; the crops that were planted in the fieds arelong g one. You,

'the dharma master', have the spiritual power that reaches the gods. I f youplead to put an end to this situation caused by an event in the past, your conduct will surelyhave cosmic effects. The rain will come and will benefit the multitude of people."

Tanchao replied, "You,

'the patron of the monastic community', have the power to causecloud s to rise and bring down rain. How could I, a monk, do that?

"The god said,

"Those inmy division are capable only of causing clouds to rise. We cannot cause rain to fall. This iswhy I have made this request.

"In the end Tanchao acceded to the request. The godimmediately dis appeared.

Tanchao then travelled southward, and after five days reached Mt. Ch iting. From a distancehe uttered spells for the dragons and preached on the Buddhist teaching. In the eveningnumerous dragons took on h uman form and presented themselves to Tanchao to payrespects to hi m. Tanchao preached further. Consequently, the dragons begged him to allowthem to take the Three Refuges. They declared themselves to be dragons. When Tanchaoasked them to cause

p. 491

rain to fall, they looked at each other but did not say anything.

During the night they came again to Tanchao in a dream and said,
"Originally, we wereinfuriated, and therefore made the oath. You,
'the dharma master', have guided us to a life ofgood conduct. We do n
ot dare to disobey your order. At meal time tomorrow rain will fall."

The next morning Tanchao went to the Linquansi or "Facing the Spring" Temple. He sent aman to the District Magistrate, telling him to arrange a boat to be sent out into the Jiang riverand the Dragon King Scripture to be recited on that boat. The District Magistrate asked themon k to go out in the boat himself. When he finished reciting the scripture, heavy rain came. Everyone, on every level of society, was satisfied, and the year's harvest was plentiful. Tanchao died in 492 at age 74. (400ab)

As I read this biography, one of its major concerns seems to be the preservation of the order of society. Tanchao is not a "mediator" in the same sense as in Peter Brown's analysis of Byzantine "holy men"; he mediates between the villagers in this world and the dragons whorule in the other world, and not between villagers. Nevertheless the Buddhist monk, amaster of meditation and a kind of "holy man" is also called upon to secure the basicstructure of society.

The story makes repeated references to worldly and otherworldly hier archies. The worldlyhierarchy, represented here by the Founding Emp eror of the new Qi dynasty and the localofficial ("District Magistrate"),

appears to be mirrored in the corresponding hierarchy of gods. The concerns of these two hierarchies overlap with each other. The emperor has theauthority to send monks on missionary assignments to teach meditation; a local magistrate obviously concerned about the drought and is willing to have a boat sent out into the riverand a scripture recited from the boat. The impressive deity who visited Tanchao is inform edabout the human conduct that had angered the dragons and cause dithe drought; althoughhe is concerned about the drought, however, he and his retinue of gods can only make the clouds rise. They cannot cause rain to fall, for it is the dragons that control the rain.

The rain miracle story that constitutes the main part of Tanchao's biog raphy in theBiographies of Eminent Monks collection does not deny th is basic structure of dualhierarchies in which the worldly hierarchy is i mplicitly supported by an

p. 492

other-worldly hierarchy. The situation that necessitated Tanchao's intervention was amalfunctioning or disorder of this structure. It was by accident that people disturbed thedragons' residence. When the dragon

s were infuriated and made an oath to stop the rain, amonk, who was an otusider and had a reputation for extraordinary spiritual power thatr eached even to gods, had to be brought in. Only after the monk had s ucceeded incoverting the dragons to Buddhism could the dragons be persuaded to abandon their oathand bring down rain.

Stories about encounters between Buddhist monks and beings of the other world (gods, mountain gods, ghosts, dragons, etc.) are found fre quently in Huijiao's collection as well asin later collections of the Biogr aphies of Eminent Monks series. I am inclined to interpretthese storie s as stories connected with missionary works carried out by Buddhist monks, apoint to which I shall return below. Monks in these stories su cceed in establishing Buddhistcenters by converting local deities. The situation appears to have been somewhatanaloguous to that of winnin g the support of secular rulers and government officials. Whatmonks win from secular rulers and officials is patronage; what Tanchao obtai ns afterconverting the dragons was their obedience. Tanchao does no t challenge the dragons'control over rain. Once the monks' supernatur al power was recongized, representatives ofthis-worldly and other-wor Idly hierarchies are free to come to them for help when the normalcour se of events has been disturbed by accident.

Focusing our attention on this basic pattern, which appears to be foun d frequently in stories about the exercise of supernatural power by Bud dhist monks in medieval China, I want totry to speculate about the "fu nction" of these monks, in a way similar to that in which PeterBrown h as described the function of "holy men" in Byzantine culture. I would li ke to suggestthat in the Chinese case, the monks might have helped t o maintain the social order byproviding what was understood to be be emergency solutions to the crises that arose fromits malfunctioning. U nder normal circumstances the virtue of the officials of this world and t hepower of officials in the other world, that included creatures like dra gons, are believed tomake certain that everything worked smoothly — — for example, that rains came at the appropriate time. Unpredicable o ccurrences such as drought threaten the society byundermining the cr edibility of this entire

p. 493

symbolic order. This was the moment for Buddhist monks to step in: B

uddhist monks werethen requested to perform their "rain magic". We can imagine that the risks might not havebeen very high for either the monks concerned or for the members of local society. Monkswere bro ught in from the outside. If the rain failed to come, they could simply re turn, or madeto return, to where they came from. The credibility of the local order was also not likely tohave been affected further by this failu re. If the rain in fact came, as invariably it does in themonks' biographi es, the credibility of the local order would have been restored, and, in f act, strengthened by incorporating the role of the monk into the order it self.

This speculative analysis of the role of Buddhist monks would suggest that there must have been constant demand for supernatural feats by Buddhist monks. It is in the nature of thingsthat many events of divers e kinds threaten the order of this world in a way similar to drought; peo ple must have been tempted to turn to Buddhist monks to neutralize the challenges that these events presented. Buddhist monks appear to have responded to these requests as they expanded their influences in rural and mountainous areas outside of major cities. Apressure for the

"overproduction of the holy", to return to Peter Brown's language, mig hthave resulted from this.

In theory there was no inherent reason that indicates that Buddhist m onks alone were capable of performing this function, and I assume that t appeals to other religious figureswere also made frequently. It might be important, however, to remember that Buddhism was aforeign relig ion, and Buddhist monks came to local communities from "outside", p articularlyduring the time when Buddhism was beginning to penetrate local communities in medievalChina. As the "outsiders" par excellenc e they might have had a particularly strong appeal inthis regard. More detailed descriptions of other-worldly hierarchies often appear in Daoi stsources. With a closer relationship to local deities, who formed part of the local other-worldlyhierachy, Daoist priests might have been less suited to perform the function that we areattributing to Buddhist monk s here.

As I noted above I also read the story of Tanchao as a story about the spread of Buddhism, and in support of this reading I consider some of the geographical information given in the story. In the table of contents

that is attached at the end

p. 494

of the Taisho edition of Huijiao's collection Tanchao is identified as a monk at Mt. Lingyin inQiantang (421b17).[12]But in the table of content s that Sosho reproduced of Baochang'scollection Tanchao is identified as a monk at the Longhuasi temple. Moreover, Huijiaomentions explicitly that Tanchao was affiliated with the Longhuasi temple in the capital cityand that he kept returning to the capital over and over again. As we noted above, Huijiaoalso notes explicitly that when the rule of the new Qi dynasty began, Tanchao was sent to Liaodong to engage in missionary activities. Tanchao was a monk who frequently movedbetwe en the flourishing Buddhist temples in the capital city and areas near and far where Buddhism was not as securely established.

With this in mind it seems natural to read the story of Tanchao's mirac ulous feat at Mt.Lingyin, with its emphasis on converting local dragons, as a story that describes howBuddhism came to be accepted locally in an area that was not very far from the capital. This story of Tanchao's feat at Mt. Lingyin forms a coherent whole by itself; it probably firstcir

culated as an independent miracle story rather than as a part of a biog raphy. At somelater point this miracle story was incorporated into the biography of Tanchao, who musthave been fairly well known as a monk in the Longhuasi temple in the capital city. Or it might be more accurate to say that the miracle story was transformed into a biography by adding ashort introductory paragraph, and a sentence at the end giving the date of his death and hisage.

The name Qiantang appears in the annal of the Founding Emperor of Qin dynasty in SimaQian's Book of History completed around 90 B.C.

[13]In 907 Qian Liu founded the kingdomof Wuyue with the capital in H angzhou; this kingdom came to be known for its generoussupport of B uddhism. Later in 1127 the Song dynasty lost its Northern capital and moved itscaptial to this same city, which was then called Lin' an. Thus, in later years this area becamean important center of Buddhism in So uth China.

In spite of its early origin and later renown as a major Buddhist center,

Huijiao's collectioncontains only two biographies that mention gthis lo

cation: the

above mentioned biography of Tanchao and that of Huiji, who was bor n in 412, seven yearsbefore Tanchao, and died in 496, four years after him (379ab). In his biography Huiji is saidto have been originally from Qiantang, and after his teacher Huiyi (372 \sim

444) of the Zhiyuansi died in the capital city Jiankang of the Liu Song d ynasty in the year 444, he left thecity and stopped at the Xianmingsi te mple in Qiantang on his way to Kuaiji and elsewhere. He then became a major figure, establishing new temples and giving lectures, and was laterappointed as the head of the Buddhist communities in ten cities in the area. The name of Mt. Lingyin or the temple in that mountain is not mentioned in this biography.

In the Korean edition of Huijiao's collection reproduced in the Taisho collection, the name of the mountain where Tanchao meditated and experienced a miraculous encounter is given as Mt. Lingyuan. [14] The name is given as Mt. Lingyin in three other important versions consulted by the editor of the Taisho collection, and here I tentatively followed the variant reading. The famous Lingyinsi temple, closed down during the persecution of Buddhism in Huichang period (841 \sim

846), was reestablished under the last ruler of the Wuyue kingdomand prospered during the Southern Song period.

The Universal History of Buddhism (Fozu tongji) completed by Zhipan

in 1269 mentions thatthe temple at Mt. Lingyin is said to have been fo unded by an Indian monk Zhu Huili in theyear 326 (339c). I am inclined to believe that this information given in a source d ating from atime when the temple and its location had become a majo r center of Buddhism is probably apiece of later fabrication, and that at the time Tanchao is said to have visited Mt. Lingyin itwas a rather obs cure place, which may well have been left untouched by Buddhistmiss ionaries active in the area. The miracle story indicates that Tanchao st ayed at Mt.Lingyin for a period of time, practicing meditation. It does n ot mention a temple on themountain nor any permanent residence where he might have stayed.

Tanchao is then said to have gone to Mt. Chiting, located at a distanc e of five days travelfrom Mt. Lingyin, and from there he is said to have visited the

Linquansi temple to have a boat sent out onto the river and the scripture recited. I have sofar not succeeded in identifying the location of Mt.

Chiting; the place appears to have been associated with a dragon cult.

The characterization of Tanchao as a monk at Mt. Lingvin in the table of contents attached to Huijiao's collection appears to imply that Tanch ao became a mountain monk, establishinga permanent residence at Mt. Lingyin and ending his life there. But neither the biography as a wh ole nor the miracle story indicates unambiguously that this was the ca se. If we take themiracle story about dragons as orginally a separate s tory that was later incorporated ortransformed into this biography, it wi Il be more natural to assume that Tanchao's stay at Mt.Lingvin was of a limited duration; he may have left behind him a new Buddhist center there, or possibly strengthened the Buddhist community that already e xisted there. In either case lam inclined to read the miracle story as a story about the penetration of Buddhism into localcommunities outsid e of major urban centers in South China.

This line of speculation points to a larger framework for an examination of the biographies in Huijiao's collection. When the ruling Jin dynasty

lost its northern capital in Chang' an in theyear 316, a large part of the Chinese aristocracy moved southward to Jiankang, or thepresent day Nanjing. In the South Buddhism became fashionable at the court and amongmembers of the aristocracy. New temples were built and Buddhist communities were established in rapidly developing centers of power and wealth in south China. E. Zu04 rchernamed this phenomenon "gentry Buddhism" and made it the subject of his masterful work, The Buddhist Conques t of China first published in 1957.

Both Baochang and Huijiao lived and worked under the Liang dynasty , known for itspro-Buddhist policies, particularly under the famous Emperor Wu (reign: $502\sim$

549). The firstmassive collections of biographies of Chinese monks we re thus produced in the South, and shows a greater familiarity with the situation of Buddhism there. It might be revealing to examine Huijiao's collection with some emphasis on the biographies of monks who lived in the South, paying special attention to the manner in which these biographies reflect the gradual spread of Buddhism from urban areas to I ess populated rural areas and monasticcenters in mountains. Such an investigation, looking closely around

the edges of Zurcher's "gentry Buddhism", might result in a rather diff erent picture of theBuddhist Conquest of China. But I am here running way ahead of myself. In order to carryout this project properly I will have to learn a great deal more about the dramatic changesthat took place both in the cities and rural villages between the 4th to 6th centruy. Our discussion of the loci and function of the "holy" based on a study of carefully chosenbiographies from Huijiao's collection could then proceed to a broader investigation of thedynamics of medieval Chinese so ciety.

In any case, it would owe a great debt to Peter Brown's work on late a ntiquity, and to hispowerful insights that holy men function in society in certain ways as that society isundergoing significant change. It is ther e that I believe we need to focus our attention — onthe underlying principles of Peter Brown's investigation, looking closely at medieval Chinaitself, rather than on any comparison between Chinese and Wester n holy men as discreteand comparable categories.

關鍵詞:1.Huijiao (Hui-chiao).

2. Biographies of Eminent Monks (Gaoseng zhuan). 3. Arthur Wright.

4.Peter Brown. 5.Tanchao

p. 498

LIST OF CHARACTERS

Baocharlg 寶唱 chiting 赤亭

Chu sanzang ji ji 出三藏記集 Daming 大明

Daoxuan 道宣 Fayuan zhulin 法

苑珠林

Fozu tongji 佛祖統紀 Fuyang 富陽

gantong 感通 Gao 高

Hangzhou 杭州 Huichang 會昌

Huiyi 禁義 Hyiyuan 禁遠

Jiang 江 Jiankang 建康

Kōsōderl no sekitsu (jō, ge) 高僧傳の成立 kuaiji 會稽

Liaodong 遼東 Lingyin 靈隱

Lingyuan <u>靈</u>苑 Linquansi 臨泉

寺

Longhuasi 龍華寺 Lun yue 論日

Makita Tairyō 牧田諦亮 Meisōdenshō 名

僧傳抄

Mingxiangji 冥祥記 Qian Liu 錢繆

Qiantang 錢塘 Sengyou 僧祐

shenyi 神異 shiji 史記

Shixing 始興 Sima Qian 司馬遷

Sōshō 宗性 Tanchao 曇超

Wu 武 Wuyue 吳越

XianIllingsi 顯明寺 Zhipan 志磐

p. 499

《高僧傳》的比較研究:中古中國佛教聖僧的功能

篠原亨一 麥克馬斯特大學

提要

在本論文中,作者提出一個解讀慧岐《高僧傳》的新方向。首先針對亞瑟.賴特的力作《傳記與聖賢傳:慧峻的高僧傳》,提出討論和批評。有關慧校對於神異事蹟的態度,我與賴特的看法並不相同;賴特認爲,慧岐「比較不想、以神異的描述來讓普通人畏敬,比較注重說服貴族和知識分子,讓他們相信佛教的學理淵深值得尊敬,佛教僧但也過著濟世的、有創發性的、戒律嚴謹的生活。」因此,賴特就引用彼得.布朗在研究基督教史所使用和所批評的「雙層模式」,來研究中世紀的中國佛教。我主張我們必須放棄賴特所使用的廣泛闡釋架構:相對於精英分于的宗教,另有一種廣大庶民的宗教。我也主張我們必須暨疑賴特對於那種精英文化的看法:鄙視神異故事和神通。同時,我們必須仔細而深入地探討慧岐《高僧傳》的實際內容。事實上,只要我們仔細研究《高僧傳》和相關典籍,就可以發現慧岐對於神異事蹟和神異僧的看法,絕非如賴特所說。

賴特和其他人在分析慧校《高僧傳》的內容時,大都依據慧峻的序。我提醒大家注意慧峻的觀點主要來自寶唱,因此我認爲慧岐序別有目的,而且在序(包括把傳記分成十科)和內文之間可能存有相當大的差距。對於慧餃《高僧傳》內容的研究,如果以他的序爲主要論點(就像賴特的古典研究一樣),就必須重新給以檢驗,我們應該把重點放在個別的傳記之上,而非編輯者對於這些傳記的觀點。

在本論文的第二部分,我認爲彼得.布朗在研究西方近古時期的「神」和「聖者」時所採用的功能主義方法,可以提供給我們在研究中國《高

僧傳》時的借鏡,也許會讓我們發現一片新天地。我當試以討論〈習禪科〉的曇超 (419~492) 傳,來說明這種研究方法。我試著以功能主義的觀點來解讀曇超的生平,認爲維持社會秩序是〈曇超傳〉的主要關注點之一。曇超在此世界的村民和統治彼世界的龍之間坐禪。下雨的神異故事,構成傳中的主要部分,並不否認此世界與彼世界的基本結構,在這個結構中,此世界隱約受到彼世界的支持。當這個結構的運作不良或脫序時,便需要曇超的介入。

p. 500

人們在無意中擾亂到龍宮,激怒了龍,使得龍因忿立誓要停止下雨,這時候就必須請來局外人的禪師,他以特殊神通力聞名,甚至可以與天神交往。一直要等到這位禪師降服龍皈依佛教之後,龍才肯收回咒誓,把兩降下來。我進一步認爲,曇超在靈苑山的示現神通(重點在降服當地的龍皈依),也可以解讀爲描述佛教如何在離京城不太遠的地區被當地人所接受。

$\lceil \underline{1} \rceil$

"Biography and Hagiography: Hui-chiao's Lives of Eminent Monks", Si Iver Jubileevolume of teh Jimb un Kagaku Kenkyusyo, Kyoto Universit y, Kyoto, 1954, pp. 383~432.

- [2] Some of these limitations are shared in the more recent and more sophisticated study by Makita Tairyo, Kosoden no seiritsujo, and Kosoden no seiritsuge in Tohogakuho (Kyoto), 44(1973), pp. $101\sim$ 125 and 48(1975), pp. $229\sim259$.
- [3] Peter Brown, Society and the Holy in Later Antiquity (Berkeley, Los Angeles, Oxford, 1982), particularly in pp. $8\sim13$.

- "Two Sources of Chinese Buddhist Biographies: Stupa Inscriptions and Miracle Stories", Monks and Magicians Religious Biographies in Asia (Oakville, Ontario, 1988), pp. 119~228.
- [5] Baochang's biography is found in Further Biographies of Eminent Monks pp.426b~427c.
- [$\underline{6}$] "Meisōdenshō", Xu zangjing vol. 134, pp. 1 \sim 17B.
- [7] "Biography and Hagiography", pp.408 \sim 412.
- [8] As an example of a study that compares Byzantine and Medieval Chinese Buddhist"holy men", see Samuel N. C. Lieu,
- "The Holy Man and their Biographers in EarlyByzantium and Medieval China", Maistor: Classical Byzantine and Reneissance Studies forRo bert Browning, edited by Ann Moffat (Canberra, 1984), 113~
- 147. The original version ofmy paper was prepared as a response to P rof. Lieu's paper at a Departmental Colloquiumthat was held at McMa ster University in January.
- [9] I found the articles in Society and the Holy in Late Anciquity most helpful in acquainting myself with the theoretical insights of his large an

d learned body of work. The passagesfrom Peter Brown quoted below have been taken from this work. See also The Cult of theSaints Its Ri se and Function in Latin Christianity (Chicago,

1981) and The Making of LateAntiquity (Cambridge, Mass.,

1978). For a lengthy review of the The Cult of the Saints seeJaques Fontaine,

"Le culte des saints et ses implications sociologique: reflections sur u nrecent essai de Peter Brown", Analecta Bollandiana 100~(1982), pp. $17{\sim}41$.

[10] I discussed this passage in the "Two Sources of Chinese Buddhis t Biographies", p.141.It was probably Baochang who inserted the mira cle stories, taken from the Mingxiangji intothe well-known biography of Huiyuan that was found in Seng you's Chu sanzang ji ji, $109b \sim 110c$.

[11] I am planning to present some of the preliminary findings of this st udy in a paper to beread at the Medievalist Conference in Kalamazoo, Michigan in May, 1992.

[12] It is possible that this table was prepared by someone other than Huijiao.

- [13] Shiji fascicle 6 (Zhonghua shuji edition, vol. 1), p.260.
- [14] See "Taisho shinshu daizokyo kando mokuroku" in Hobo somoku roku vol.1, p.539a.The biography is found in vol. 32, p.

875 of the published edition of the Korean collection.