中華佛學學報第 07 期 (p361-384): (民國 92 年),臺北:中華佛學研究 所,<u>http://www.chibs.edu.tw</u> Chung-Hwa Buddhist Studies, No. 07, (2003) Taipei: The Chung-Hwa Institute of Buddhist Studies

ISSN: 1026-969X

Issues in the Use of Electronic Markup

forthe

Comparative Analysis of Āgama Literature

Marcus Bingenheimer

M.A., University of Wuerzburg

p. 361

Summary

The present paper is one of the first steps taken towards the design of an advanced digital edition of the Pāli Nikaya and the Chinese Āgama sutras.

After presenting the two textcorpora in digital form, and linking them by a comparative catalogue, it is possible to encode comparative research using TEI markup. Through the formalism of themarkup language the resulting

digital edition would tell the user in aconsistent and precise way about certain aspects in the relationship betweentwo sutras. It is the researcher alone whodecides what aspects to encode and how precisely to do so.

The paper outlines (in 1. & 2.)the notion of markup and the reasons for its use in the present case. Then I offer two examples of howresearch can be encoded into markup. In 3.1 the occurrenceof a word or phrase in the Pāli and / or Chinese is treated in a comparativefashion. Fully done, this kind of analysiswould enable, e.g. a user of the Pāli text to know to what degree literal parallels to her text exist in Chinese. For an example, we look at agatha from the Za ahan jing(T2.99.120b) and its parallel in the Saṃyutta Nikāya (PTS IV, 218).

In 3.2 the content structure of the Arrow sutra in Chinese and Pāli is analysed and compared. The results are encoded by way of one markup

p. 362

line that describes the difference between the sutras according to apreviously devised matrix. This perspective allows precise, quantifiable statements of differences between the two corporass a whole, based on structural differences between sutra versions.

關鍵詞:1. Āgama Literature 2.Pāli Nikāyas 3.Comparative Analysis 4. EncodingMarkup 5.TEI (Text Encoding Initiative)

[Contents]

0. Agama Literature

<u>1. Markup</u>

- 2. Why use Markup for Comparative Analysis?
- 3. What to encode?——Two examples

3.1. Occurence

3.2. Content Structure

0. Āgama Literature

Of the two main collections of Āgamaliterature—in Pāli and Chinese—the Pāli Nikāyas have for variousreasons attracted a lot more scholarly attention during the past century. Only the Pāli texts have beentranslated into English, and it is these that are generally held to be the "theearliest texts." To this day, someare even convinced that the Chinese Ahan jing are mere translations of the Pālias it is found in the PTS edition.

However, the differences between the two corpora do not suggest that one is a translation of the other. They are rather the result of different lines of transmission in the Buddhist order. Both are the product of long processes of canonization and editing that came to an end (of some sort) only after the 5th century CE. By that time the translation of most of the Chinese Ahan jing from the Prakrit Āgamas of Northern India had been completed and the Pāli Nikāyas had reached a new degree of stability due to Buddhaghośa's commentaries.

Almost hundred years ago, in order togive a western reader an idea of how large the differences between the twocollections are, Akanuma wrote: "if these deviations are not of the kind thatwe find in the four synoptic Gospels, or of such degree as those between theGospels and the Apocrypha, they are nevertheless more than the various readingsof Shakespeare in the Quarto and Folio present."[1] Statements like this, and the assurancethat the doctrines are basically the same, may have lead to the impression thata comparative study would yield few relevant new insights. Perhaps this is true. It all depends on what one believes tobe "relevant."

Relevancy is also a central feature of electronic markup, the meta-data

p. 364

that is added to digital texts. This article is a first take on how we could use the advantages of the digital medium for a comparative analysis of the two traditions.

1. Markup

Markup is the data that can, or rathermust, be added to an electronic text to ensure its usefulness in the digitalmedium. In order totransfer a text from paper, one needs to encode several features of thetext-on-paper which are usually taken for granted. Merely to reproduce the words is notenough. Paragraphs are notthere to make a page look nice, but are a conscious effort by an author oreditor to encode information regarding the structure of the text. In a manuscript the hand of thescribe, the quality of paper, etc. might be worthmentioning. Therefore, standards have evolved that allow us to encode almost every kind of informationconcerning any level of a given text. HTML is one ofthese standards, XML (eXtensible Markup Language) another.

For the examples given here we willuse the XML-conformant standard developed by the TEI (Text EncodingInitiative)-consortium (edition P4).

There are different standards, and even within the same standard there is always more than one way to markup atext. The designs used in the present paper are meant as illustrations and do not suggest a final markup solution for the problems in question. They are rather preliminary suggestions toward a digital comparative edition of the Chinese Ahan jing and the Pāli Nikāyas.

2. Why use Markup for Comparative Analysis?

We are rapidly moving into an erawhere the printed text will become a snapshot version of a constantly evolvingdigital text. Texts, especiallyscholarly texts, will not anymore be produced with the primary aim ofpublishing them in print.

Markup is not only a sine qua nonin the process of digitization, but also a useful research tool for textualstudies. For comparativetextual studies of the kind the Āgama literature demands, where we have to dealwith large text corpora and a complex edition history, the use of markup forcomparative analysis offers several advantages.

1. It is precise and consistant. Researchers are encouraged to followthrough their own standards in a much more rigorous way than in the usualresearch paper. Other researcherscan access, evaluate and expand the information easily by using a commonstandard (in our case TEI).

2. It is flexible. The researcher can encode comparative information in one of the corpora (e.g. encoding Pāli equivalents in the Chinese text), in both (by using various linking or alignment methods), or in athird place outside the texts (e.g. as in my digital comparative catalog of Pāli and Chinese sutras [ComCat]).

The researcher decides what and how toencode (in the framework of the standard) according to his/her aims. The use of a standard makes it easier forresearchers to communicate with each other, work together, evaluate and streamline their different approaches.

Errors caneasily be corrected, and different opinions and interpretations can be added to any one edition, all the whilekeeping track of all changes by various ways of version-control.

3. The results of one's research, encoded in the markup, can be easily interchanged and reformatted for presentations in print, on the web or further digital uses.

4. The resulting digital edition ischeap and accessible. Through theelimination of printing and distribution costs, scholarly editions can be used by researchers everywhere, independent of the financial means of their institution.

p. 366

3.What to encode?—Two examples

Here, we will, in two examples, consider the encoding of two different areas in comparative analysis: occurrence and content structure. Other

importantfields, such as the markup of linguistic features, will have to wait untilanother occasion.

3.1. Occurence

Occurrence denotes the existence of acertain passage in a text. In the followingexample, we will consider a gāthā found in the Za ahan jing (雜阿 含經, T02n0099)in volume two, page 120b of the Taishō edition. There is a closely corresponding gāthā in the Pāli canon in volume four, page 218 of the PTS (Pāli Text Society) edition. The topic is ametaphor that likens the arising of sensations (vedanā) in the body to winds in the sky.

We now want to encode informationabout which passages occur in both the Chinese and the Pāli version, and whichoccur only in one version. For the sake ofsimplicity, we will ignore the problem of variant readings as they are given in the apparatus of both editions and treat the passages as they appear in themain text.

Here is the Pāli text. The underlined passages do not occurin the Chinese:

Yathāpi vātā ākāse// vāyanti vividhā puthū //

puratthimā pacchimācāpi // uttarā atha dakkhiņā //

Sarajā arajā capi// sitā unhā ca ekadā //

adhimattāparittā ca// puthu vāyanti mālutā //

tathevimasmij kāyasmim // samuppajjanti vedanā //

sukhadukkhasamuppatti// adukkhamasukhā ca yā //

yato ca bhikkhuātāpī // sampajaññam nirūpadhi //

tato so vedanāsabbā // parijānāti paņdito //

p. 367

So vedanā pariññāya// ditthe dhamme anāsavo//

kāyassa bhedā dhammattho // sankhyam nopeti vedagūti //

In the XML file of the CBETA (Chinese Buddhist Electronic Text Association) CD we find the Chinesetext together with some useful basic markup. Underlined passages do not exist in the Pāli.

<lb n= "0120b26"/><lg><l>譬如虛空中</l><l>種種狂風起</l>

<lb n= "0120b27"/><l>東西南北風</l><milestone n="0120b271b"/><l>四 維亦如是</l>

<lb n= "0120b29"/><l>如是此身中</l><l>諸受起亦然</l>

<pb ed= "T" id= "T02.0099.0120c" n= "0120c"/>

<lb n= "0120c01"/><l>若樂若苦受</l>入下苦不樂</l>

<lb n= "0120c02"/><l>有食與無食</l><l>貪著不貪著</l>

<lb n= "0120c03"/><l>比丘勤方便</l>正智不傾動</l>

<lb n= "0120c04"/><l>於此一切受</l>結整能了知</l>

<lb n= "0120c05"/><l>了知諸受故</l>引>現法盡諸漏</l>

<lb n= "0120c06"/><l>身死不墮數</l><milestone n= "0120c06lb"/><l>永 處般涅槃</l></lg>

What has been encoded here are linebreaks <lb>s, one pagebreak <pb> (in fact acolumn break between 120b and 120c), lines <l> in the gāthā and the gāthāas a whole has been taken as a linegroup <lg>. I have added two <milestone>elements, which we will need later.

Especially useful for us are theidentifying numbers <n> that enable us to adress each line. It saves some work if there is already basic markup structure with addressable identifiers that one can refer to. If there is none, one can alwayscreate one's own (TEI-conformant) structure. In English the text reads:

p. 368

Like the winds in the sky, different winds blow

From east and west and north and south [(only in the Chinese:) from the other four directions as well][$\underline{2}$]

Some with dust and some without [(only in the Pāli:) Hot and cool, Fierce and easy] many winds there blow

Thus, in the body arise the various sensations

Pleasant, unpleasant and neutral [(only in the Chinese:)Defiled or undefiled,[3] with attachment or without]

So does the bhikkhu strive ardently, with right understanding, free from passions[4]

All the sensations the wise will be able to understand

And by knowing the various sensations he realizes theDhamma, is without impurities

After he dies, [(only in Pāli:) established in Dhamma], hewill not be reborn, [(only in Pāli:) he has reached the final goal] [(only inChinese:) forever abiding in Nirvana][<u>5</u>]

p. 369

Now that the material is ready, let usconsider: what are the logical possibilities of "occurance" for the purpose of comparative analysis? Clearly, there are three.

1. Apassage appears in both Chinese and Pāli. (Leaving aside varying degrees of correspondance for now.)

2. A passage appears only in Pali.

3. A passage appears only in Chinese.

Translatedinto TEI this set of possibilities could be expressed like this:

```
<interpgrp type="occurrence">
```

<interp id= "oc"resp= "MB" value= "Only in Chinese">

```
<interp id= "op"resp= "MB" value= "Only in Pali">
```

```
<interp id= "chpa"resp= "MB" value= "In Chinese and Pali">
```

</interpgrp>

This is a group of interpretive tools <interpgrp>. It assigns an identifier <id> to each possibility. It also says that someone with the initials of Mister Bean is responsible for the interpretation.

Now we can start the markup. Here, we will insert the markup in the $P\bar{a}$ li text, but we could as well put it in the Chinesetext or in an altogether different location.

<seg ana="chpa"> Yathāpi vātā ākāse, vāyanti vividhā puthū

puratthimā pacchimācāpi, uttarā atha dakkhinā.

```
<xptrana= "oc" doc= "T02n0099.xml" from= "0120b271b" to=
"0120b28"/>
```

sarajā arajācapi,</seg><seg ana= "op">sitā uņhā ca ekadā

adhimattā parittāca,</seg><seg ana= "chpa">puthū vāyanti māluta.

tathevimasmij kāyasmij, samuppajjanti vedanā

p. 370

sukhadukkhasamuppatti,adukkhamasukhā ca yā

<xptr ana= "oc"doc= "T02n0099.xml" from= "0120c02" to= "0120c03"/>

yato ca bhikkhuātāpī sampajaññamna riñcati.

tato so vedanāsabbā parijānāti paņdito.

So vedanāpariññāya, ditthedhamme anāsavo;

```
kāyassa bhedā</seg><seg ana= "op">dhammaṭṭho</seg>,<seg ana=
"chpa">saņkhyaṃ nopeti</seg><seg ana= "op">vedagūti</seg>
```

```
<xptrana= "oc" doc= "T02n0099.xml" from= "0120c06lb" to= "0120c07"/>
```

Here we are sayingthat the segment <seg> from "Yathāpi" to "arajā capi" has the analyticalvalue (ana) "chpa". In our<interpgrp> above we have defined "chpa" as denoting a passage thatexists in both Chinese and Pāli. The followingsegment sitā unhā caekadā adhimattā parittā ca appears only in the Pāli version, therefore it has the analyticalvalue "op."

We indicate the existence of a passage that appears only in Chinese by using an extended pointer <xptr> that identifies a passage in another document (doc) by using the (from) and (to) attributes. With the (ana) attribute we express that this passage exists only in Chinese "oc."[<u>6</u>]

If we do not want to add theinformation into the texts themselves, we can use the element toanalyse the two versions. This results in alist of elements that can be attached to the text documents orstored in a different place. The items on thelist would look like this:

```
<span value= "chpa" from= "IDREF for yathāpi" to="IDREF for arajā capi"/>
```

```
<span value="op" from= "IDREF for sitā" to= "IDREF for parittā ca"/>
```

```
<span value="oc" from= "0120c02" to= "0120c03"/>
```

p. 371

The above examplewas to illustrate how the occurrence of shorter passages in both or eitherversion of our texts can be encoded. While occurrence can be encoded on the level of single words and passages it is also possible tostate occurrence for larger units. To say that acertain sutra exists in both Pāli and Chinese can already be taken as aninstance of "chpa." In many sutraslarge, distinct parts exist only in either Chinese or Pāli. These could be marked with the same mechanism. But there is clearly more to do in a comparison of the two corpora.

3.2. ContentStructure

Similarity and difference in the content structure of related sutras is a relevant phenomenon for comparative analysis. We need a mechanism to describe differences on this level, which is considerably more complex than that of occurence. In order to accomplish this, one has to define a set of structural components. Again, what kind of structures are encoded depends on one's interests and research aims.

In the following a tripartite contentstructure is assumed. The beginning of asutra often outlines a "setting" that describes the where, who and why of thesutra (this could be further divided into "location", "protagonists" and "speech instance" components). Also, most Āgamasutras have a "topic," which is usually, but not necessarily, a questionanswered by the Buddha.

Finally, let us call "argument" theargumentation as it is developed in its logical structure and the ideas and concepts employed.

Comparing a Pāliand a Chinese sutra[$\underline{7}$] one might want to say that the one orthe other of these structural parts are the same or different. Expressed in TEI as <interpgrp>this becomes:

p. 372

```
<interpgrp type="content similarity">
```

```
<interp id= "sameset" resp= "MB" value= "same setting"/>
```

```
<interp id= "sametop" resp= "MB" value= "same topic"/>
```

```
<interp id= "samearg"resp= "MB" value= "same argument"/>
```

```
<interp id= "differentset"resp= "MB" value= "different setting"/>
```

```
<interp id= "differenttop" resp= "MB" value= "different topic"/>
```

```
<interp id= "differentarg" resp= "MB" value= "different argument"/>
```

</interpgrp>

With these tools, however, we can so far only produce statements of occurrence on a content structure level. This does not take us much beyond the previous example, where we could say about a <seg>that it existed in both versions or only in one.

If we want to gain a new take onstructural sameness and difference of content we will have to explore furtherwhat possible differences between sutras exist.

What then, canhappen, assuming there are setting, topic and argument? The logical possibilities are that two sutras have:

"same setting, sametopic, same argument"

"same setting, sametopic, different argument"

"same setting, different topic, same argument"

"samesetting, different topic, different argument" [not useful when one comparesPāli and Chinese][8]

p. 373

"different setting, same topic, same argument"

"different setting, same topic, different argument"

"different setting, different topic, same argument"

"differentsetting, different topic, different argument" [not useful, this is tantamountto saying the sutras are not related; comparison requires at least some form of similarity] If we include only the useful combinations into our <interpgrp> we get amore sophisticated scheme. The <id>s canbe choosen freely by the encoder.

```
<interpgrp type="content similarity">
```

<interp id= "sameset"value= "same setting"/>

```
<interp id= "sametop" value= "same topic"/>
```

```
<interp id= "samearg" value= "same argument"/>
```

<interp id= "differentset"value= "different setting"/>

```
<interp id= "differenttop" value= "different topic"/>
```

<interp id= "differentarg"value= "different argument"/>

<interp id= "CS1"value= "same setting, same topic, sameargument"/>

<interp id= "CS2"value= "same setting, same topic, differentargument"/>

<interp id= "CS3" value= "same setting, different topic, same argument"/>

<interp id= "CS4" value= "different setting, same topic, sameargument"/>

```
<interpid= "CS5" value= "differentsetting, same topic, different argument"/>
```

```
<interpid= "CS6" value= "differentsetting, different topic, same argument"/>
```

</interpgrp>

We can now not onlyencode if the topic of two (or more) sutras is the same or different. We can also express which out of six types of content

p. 374

structure-differences exists between these sutras.

Why would anyone want to know this?Simply, because this kind of structural comparison would lead to objective,quantitative data that could be used to gain new insights in the formation of the early Buddhist canon. If it can be shown,for instance, that in a sizeable number of Pāli-Chinese sutra pairs that have the same setting and topic there are differences in argumentation ("CS2"),this would constitute further evidence that during the centuries of oraltransmission the connection between topic and argument was especially susceptible to change.

To illustrate this the Arrow Sutta[9] will serve as example. All my comments in [] could be expressed with some form of markup. Merely for the sake of simplicity I will keep the focus on content-structure. This does not require any markup in the text (except <id>s). I will show at theend how to encode our conclusion about the content structure in a simple way.

[1. Part: Setting and Topic]

1.1 如是我聞一時佛住王舍城迦蘭陀竹園爾時世尊告諸比丘愚癡無聞凡 夫生苦樂受不苦不樂受多聞聖弟子亦生苦樂受不苦不樂受諸比丘凡夫 聖人有何差別

Thus have I heard once the Buddha wasstaying at Rājagaha in the Karanda Bamboo Grove.

At that time he told a number ofbhikkhus: In an ignorant, untaught average person there arise pleasant,unpleasant and neutral vedanā [sensations]. Alsoin a well taught saintly follower, there arise pleasant, unpleasant and neutralvedanā. What, bhikkhus, isthe difference between

p. 375

the average person and the saint?

1.2 諸比丘白佛世尊是法根法眼法依善哉世尊唯願廣說諸比丘聞已當受 奉行

The Bhikkhus said: The World-honoredOne is the root, the eye, the garment of the Dhamma, verily, if only theWorld-honored One were to elaborate on this, we would listen, remember andrevere [the teaching].

1.3 佛告諸比丘愚癡無聞凡夫身觸生諸受苦痛逼迫乃至奪命憂愁啼哭稱 怨號呼

The Buddha told the bhikkhus: Whensensations arise in the body of the ignorant, untaught average person, whenthey are harrowed by pain and suffering, as if it were going to kill them. They sob and cry sorrowfully, and loudly exclaim their anguish.

[1.3 might be a mistake in the text. The passage is the same as the nextsection, but without the introduction ("Listencarefully....."). It looks like anunintentional reduplication of the following, perhaps a scribal error. (I could encode this interpretation. If later someone finds reason to the contrary he / she could correct me, by making use of the same markupmechanism.)]

Assutavā, bhikkhave, puthujjano sukhampi vedanam vediyati, dukkhampi vedanam vediyati, adukkhamasukhampi vedanam vediyati. Sutavā, bhikkhave, ariyasāvakosukhampi vedanam vediyati, dukkhampi vedanam vediyati, adukkhamasukhampi vedanam vediyati. Tatra, bhikkhave, ko viseso ko adhippāyoso kim nānākaranam sutavatoariyasāvakassa assutavatā puthujjanenāti? Bhagavammūlakā no, bhante, dhammā..... la

[As we see, 1.3 does not appear inPāli. The restcorresponds exactly

p. 376

to the Chinese, except that the Pāli lacks the introductoryformula that describes the location. It is for theencoder / interpreter to decide, if the absence of the stereotypical formula"while the Buddha stayed in Rājagaha....." in the Pāli version is enough to saythat the setting is different. I consider thelocation-part of the setting to be of less importance than protagonists, andspeech instance. And these are thesame in both sutras: the Buddha speaks to the bhikkhus and he does so unasked. Since the location is not explicitly different in the Pāli, but only absent, I would in this case opt for "samesetting." The topic regarding the arising of vedanas in the wise and the average person is the same in Pāli and Chinese therefore "same topic."]

[2. Part: Sensations in theuntrained person. Unique passages in bold font.]

2.1. 佛告諸比丘諦聽善思當為汝說諸比丘愚癡無聞凡夫身觸生諸受增 諸苦痛乃至奪命愁憂稱怨啼哭號呼心生狂亂當於爾時增長二受若身受 若心受

The Buddha told the bhikkhus: Listencarefully and consider well. I will explain itto you, oh bhikkhus. When ignorant, untaught average persons come in touch with the arising of the varioussensations, they add to their pains and sufferings, as if they were going tokill them. They sob and crysorrowfully, they loudly exclaim their anguish, and their minds get confused. At these times their sensations becometwofold, physical and mental.

Assutavā, bhikkhave, puthujjano dukkhāya vedanāya phuṭṭho samāno socatikilamati paridevati urattāļīkandati sammohaṃ āpajjati. So dve vedanā vediyati — kāyikañca, cetasikañca.

[For the level of this analysis, this is the same.]

p. 377

2.2. 譬如士夫身被雙毒箭極生苦痛愚癡無聞凡夫亦復如是增長二受身 受心受極生苦痛

所以者何以彼愚癡無聞凡夫不了知故於諸五欲生樂受觸受五欲樂受五欲樂故爲貪使所使苦受觸故則生瞋恚生瞋恚故爲恚使所使

於此二受若集若滅若味若患若離不如實知不如實知故生不苦不樂受爲 癡使所使爲樂受所繫終不離苦受所繫終不離不苦不樂受所繫終不離云 何繫謂爲貪恚癡所繫爲生老病死憂悲惱苦所繫

This is like in a man whose body isstuck with two poisoned arrows in whom there arises intense pain. An ignorant, untaught average person, having compounded his sensations to two layers, suffers intense pain on both the level of physical and mental sensations. Why is that? It is because theignorant, untaught average person does not understand that out of the fivesense-desires [五欲 pañcakāma?] he comes in touch with pleasant sensations. By these pleasant sensations heexperiences the pleasures of the five senses [五欲樂 pañcakāmasukhā?]. And because heexperiences these pleasures one becomes afflicted by the tendency to crave [爲貪使所使]. When he experiences unpleasantsensations, then because of this there arises aversion. With this aversion one becomesafflicted by the tendency to hate [爲恚使所使].

He does not well understand theformation and the dissolution, the sweetness[10], the misery andthe abandonment of these two kinds of sensation. Becausehe does not well understand this, when neutral sensations arise, one becomesafflicted by the tendency towards ignorance [為癡使所使 avijjānusayo soanuseti]. Thus he is bound by pleasant sensations, not able to let go of them; bound by unpleasant sensations, not

p. 378

able to let go of them; and bound by the neutral sensations, not able to let goof them. Thus, bound bycraving, aversion and ignorance, he is bound to birth, old age, sickness,death, worry, grief and all the painful trouble.

[ThePāli version is somewhat different:]

Seyyathāpi,bhikkhave, purisaṃ sallena vijjheyyuṃ. Tam enaṃ dutiyena sallena anuvedhaṃ vijjheyyuṃ. Evaṃ hi so, bhikkhave, puriso dve sallena vedanaṃ vediyati. Evaṃ eva kho, bhikkhave, assutavā puthujjano dukkhāya vedanāya phuțtho samānosocati kilamati paridevati urattāḷiṃ kandati sammohaṃ āpajjati. So dve vedanā vediyati kāyikañca,cetasikañca. [This says the same as the Chinese. Not sothe following:]

Tassāyeva khopana dukkhāya vedanāya phuṭṭho samāno paṭighavā hoti. Tam enaṃ dukkhāya vedanāya paṭighavantaṃ, yo dukkhāyavedanāya paṭighānusayo, so anuseti. So dukkhāya vedanāya phuṭṭho samānokāmasukhaṃ abhinandati. Taṃ kissa hetu? Nahi so, bhikkhave, pajānāti assutavā puthujjano aññatra kāmasukhā dukkhāyavedanāya nissaraņam, tassa kāmasukham abhinandato yosukhāya vedanāya rāgānusayo so anuseti.

[PTS -Translation:]

Touched by the painful feeling hefeels repugnance for it. Feeling that repugnance for the painful feeling, the lurking tendency to repugnance fastens on him [patighānusayo so anuseti[11]]. Touched by the painful feeling, hedelights in pleasant feeling. Why so? The untaught manyfolk,

p. 379

brethren, knows no refuge from painful feeling save sensualpleasure. Delightening inthat sensual pleasure, the lurking tendency to sensual pleasure [rāgānusayoso anuseti] fastens on him.

So tāsaṃ vedanānaṃ samudayañcaatthaṇgamañca assādañca ādīnavañca nissaraṇañca yathābhūtaṃ nappajānāti. Tassa tāsaṃ vedanānaṃ samudayañca atthaṇgamañca assādañca ādīnavañca nissaraṇañca yathābhūtaṃ appajānato,yo adukkhamasukhāya vedanāya avijjānusayo so anuseti. So sukhaṃ ce vedanaṃ vediyati, saññutto naṃ vediyati. Dukkhaṃ ce vedanaṃ vediyati,saññutto naṃ vediyati. Adukkhamasukhaṃ ce vedanaṃ vediyati,saññutto naṃ vediyati.

[The argumentation in this last part is again identical with the Chinese.]

[Here the Chineseand the Pāli both try to explain of how the experience of vedanā by an averageperson leads to bondage. They differ,however, in their argumentation. The way craving and aversion arise is explained differently. The Chinese states it relatively straightforward:

Sensual Desire -> pleasant sensations -> craving

Unpleasant sensations -> aversion

Neutral sensations -> ignorance

The Pāli makes an elegant little loop:

Unpleasant sensations -> aversion & delight in sensual pleasure

Delightin sensual pleasure -> craving

Neutral sensations -> ignorance

Though both versions converge again in the conclusion, we take this to be an instance of "different argument".]

p. 380

[3. Part: Sensations in the noble disciple]

3.1. 多間聖弟子身觸生苦受大苦逼迫乃至奪命不起憂悲稱怨啼哭號呼 心亂發狂當於爾時唯生一受所謂身受不生心受

Now if there arises pain in body of the learned, noble disciple, if he is harrowed by great pain, as if it weregoing to kill him, he does not give rise to exclaim his anguish full of griefand sorrow, does not sob and cry, and does not become mad and wild. Because at this time there only arisesone [kind of] sensation, namely physical sensation and not mental sensation.

Sutavā ca kho,bhikkhave, ariyasāvako dukkhāya vedanāya phuṭṭho samāno na socati, nakilamati, na paridevati, na urattāļiṃ kandati, na sammohaṃ āpajjati. So ekaṃ vedanaṃ vediyati – kāyikaṃ, na cetasikaṃ.

[This is the same.]

3.2.譬如士夫被一毒箭不被第二毒箭當於爾時唯生一受所謂身受不生心 受爲樂受觸不染欲樂不染欲樂故於彼樂受貪使不使於苦觸受不生瞋恚 不生瞋恚故恚使不使於彼二使[12]集滅昧患離如實知如實知故不苦不樂 受癡使不使於彼樂受解脫不繫苦受不苦不樂受解脫不繫於何不繫謂貪 恚癡不繫生老病死憂悲惱苦不繫

Like a man struck by only one arrow, not by two. He at that time hasonly one [kind of] sensation. What is called the physical sensation does not give rise to mental sensations.

When he comes in touch with pleasant sensations, he is not defiled by the desire for pleasure. Because he is not defiled by the desire for pleasure one does not become afflicted by the tendency to crave for these pleasant

p. 381

When there are unpleasant sensations he does not give rise to sensations. Therefore one does not become afflicted by the tendency of aversion. aversion [against unpleasant sensations]. He understands the formation, dissolution, taste, misery and abandonment of these two kinds of sensations asthey are. Because heunderstands them as they are, he does not become afflicted by the tendency of ignorance in regard to neutral He is released, notbound by his pleasant sensations; he is sensations. released, not bound by unpleasant and neutral sensations. How is this? Because he is not bound by craving, aversion and ignorance, he is not bound tobirth, old age, sickness, death, worry, grief and all the painful trouble.

Seyyathāpi,bhikkhave, purisaṃ sallena vijjheyya. Tamenaṃ dutiyena sallenaanuvedhaṃ na vijjheyya. Evañhi so, bhikkhave, purisoekasallena vedanaṃ vediyati. Evameva kho, bhikkhave, sutavāariyasāvako dukkhāya vedanāya phuṭṭho samāno na socati, na kilamati, naparidevati, na urattāḷiṃ kandati, na sammohaṃ āpajjati. So ekaṃ vedanaṃ vediyati –kāyikaṃ, na cetasikaṃ.

Tassāyeva khopana dukkhāya vedanāya phuttho samāno patighavā na hoti. Tamenam dukkhāya vedanāya appatighavantam, yo dukkhāy avedanāya patighānusayo, so nānuseti. So dukkhāya vedanāya phuttho samāno Tam kissa hetu? Pajānāti hi so, bhikkhave, kāmasukham nābhinandati. sutavā ariyasāvako aññatra kāmasukhā dukkhāya vedanāya nissaraņam. Tassa kāmasukham nābhinandato yo sukhāya vedanāya rāgānusayo, so So tāsam vedanānam samudayañca atthangamañca assādañca nānuseti. ādīnavam ca nissaraņanca yathābhūtam pajānāti. Tassa tāsam vedanānam samudayañca atthangamañca assādañca ādīnavañca nissaranañca yathābhūtam pajānato, yoadukkhamasukhāya vedanāya avijjānusayo, so So sukhañce vedanam vediyati, visaññutto nam vediyati. nānuseti. Dukkhañce vedanam vediyati, visaññutto nam vediyati. Adukkhamasukhañcevedanam vediyati, visaññutto nam vediyati. Ayam vuccati, bhikkhave, sutavā arivasāvako visaññutto jātivā jarāva maranena

p. 382

sokehi paridevehi dukkhehi domanassehi upāyāsehi, visaññutto dukkhasmāti vadāmi.

[Both the Pāli and the Chinese versionfollow their respective lines of argumention.]

[4. Part: Thefourth part in both sutras consists in a gāthā. Somepassages are related, but the original of the Chinese must have differed considerably from the Pāli. There are only twostanzas in Chinese versus three in Pāli. Gāthās in theirsūtra contexts pose a number of special problems for comparative research, which I will skip here.]

The analysis of content structure, aswe have approached it above, concerns the text as a whole. It is therefore not necessary to splitthe texts in segments <seg>. What we can do here to simply link both texts with a k element and allow for an analytical attribute like (ana) to carry our interpretation: "same setting, same topic, different argument" ("CS2" in our <interpgrp> above).

k type="contentstructure" targets= "ID chinese sutra _ID pali sutra" ana= "CS2"resp= "we">

A number of these links would be an effective way to refine the existing catalogues[13] that relate the Pāli and the Chinese Tripitaka. The use of markupallows us to bring structural analysis to new levels of precision and flexibility. Especially when large text corpora are concerned, the advantages of the digital medium are substantial. Where there is a

p. 383

team of scholars working on the same corpus, the use of markup can provide astandard that allows for easy communication and interchange of results.

Using markup may not be everybody'scup of tea, but it has a number of solid advantages over the usual way toencode one's research in the form of annotated essays or translations. In an annotated, printed treatment of a text the author's strategy of what and why s/he annotates and if consistantlyso, is

rarely transparent. The formalism of markup grammar will of course never replace the free flow of the essay, but itcan certainly provide us with new helpful tools for textual research, especially in the field of Buddhist Studies.

p. 384

漢巴經典之比較性分析——略說電子標記的問題

馬德偉

德國烏茲堡大學碩士

提要

本文是邁向創造一個先進的巴利經典與漢文阿含經電子版的第一步。以 比較性目錄連接巴漢兩個文獻匯編之後,研究者可以 TEI 標記符號

(markup)記錄其研究結果。透過型式的標記語言,電子版可以標準 化且精確的方式告訴讀者某兩個經典的關係。由研究者自己決定記錄的 內容、使用的標記語言及標記語言的表達方式。

本文 1.,2.節敘述用標記符號的原因。接著以兩個例子在 3.1 及 3.2 說明 比較性研究如何運用標記符號。

3.1 說明如何使用標記讓所謂的「出現」(occurrence) 概念形式化。 「出現」代表某一個字、詞或句子是否僅在巴利文或僅在漢文或在兩種 文獻皆出現。這種分析,舉例而言,可讓巴利文的讀者知道某一句巴利 文在漢文有沒有平行的內容。說明此方法的例子源於《雜阿含經》(大 2.99.120b) 及相應部(PTS IV, 218)。

3.2 節介紹「內容結構」(content structure)的概念。設計一個敘述內容結構差異的矩陣後,我們可以簡單的以一行標記符號來表現某兩個經

典有何種差異。此研究方法可協助研究者從兩經結構性的差異獲得較具 體的標誌,以探討巴漢文獻匯編的相同與相異之處。

關鍵詞:1.阿含經 2.巴利文阿含經 3.比較性分析 4.電子佛典 5.標記

[1] Anesaki, Masaharu: TheFour Buddhist Āgamas in Chinese — A Concordance of their Parts and of the Corresponding Counterparts in the Pāli Nikāyas. (1908), p. 2.

[2] I am grateful toDouglas Gildow for suggesting this translation.

[3] I take 有食與無食 to be sāmisā nirāmisā ca (lit. withflesh and without flesh) in Pāli. The term sāmisāwhen applied to vedanā denotes the vedanā that arise through contact with sensualobjects, thereby being defiled. The sensations experienced in thejhānas, however, are said to be nirāmisā, undefiled. See the paper by the Vipassana Research Institute: "Sāmisa andNirāmisa in Meditation" in The Importance of Vedanā and Sampajañña. Nashik (Mahārashtra, India): VRI, 1990 [Reprint 2002], 53~55.

[4] Here the PTS main textPāli version does not match as well with the Chinese as the variant "sampajaññam na riñcati" given in footnote 7.

[5] The last lines do notmatch well. 身死不墮數 translates kāyassa bhedā

sankhyan nopeti. The Chinese omits dhammatho and vedagū. 永處般

涅槃 "abiding forever in Nirvana" is not there in the Pāli, but does not look like a addition to fill the metereither. The original must have been different.

[6] We have to tweak the DTDto allow the ana attribute into the <xptr>, but I think this is the bestsolution. For this kind of comparative analysis it is desirable to have linksthat can associate interpretative values.

[7] It is well possible to compare more than two sutras in this way. For the sake of simplicity here we will use only two.

[8] Comparing the Pāli with the Chinese "same setting" does not mean much, because there is only a limited number of settings. Thus, the constellation "same setting, different topic and argument," is true for too many sutra pairs,

e.g. all the sutras that Buddha told Ananda in Sāvatthī. It can be a useful category, however, if one first searches forstructures inside one tradition and then compares the results. E.g. How many sutras were told by Sāriputta in the Pāli and the Chinesetradition respectively?

[9] Sallatena Sutta:PTS SN IV, 207; English IV, 139. Chineseversion in Taishō vol. 2, p. 120a.

[<u>10</u>] 味 ("taste") here probably renders assādaņ.

[<u>11</u>] There are seven anusaya(inclinations, tendencies). One can have anusaya towardskāma-rāgā (sensual passion), paṭigha (grudge), diṭṭhi (views), vicikiccā (doubt), māna (conceit), bhavarāga (cravingfor continued existance), avijjā (ignorance).

[<u>12</u>] 使 here probably a mistake for 受.

[13] Anesaki (1908), Akanuma, Chizen 赤沼智善: Kanpa shibu shiagon goshōroku 《漢巴四部四阿含互照錄》(The Comparative Catalogue of Chinese Āgamas and Pāli Nikāyas). Nagoya: Hajinkaku shobō 破塵閣書 房, (1929), and my digital "ComCat" (trial version 2002) available at the DBLM website.